

Consultation Response

IGT121: Clarify IGT AQ Review Reporting Procedure

Responses invited by: 15/04/2019

Respondent Details

Name: Cher Harris

Organisation: Indigo Pipelines Ltd

Support Implementation ☒

Qualified Support ☐

Neutral ☐

Do Not Support ☐

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

Support. The current procedure pre-dates Project Nexus and no longer reflects existing practice. As such the procedure is confusing and difficult to comply with. This change will make it clear and easy to follow.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

This Modification is essentially a Housekeeping change to update Code to better reflect current practice. We therefore believe that this is a self-governance change.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

It will improve administration of the Code (Objective F) by clarifying the reporting requirements.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

As this change seeks to reflect existing practice, we do not anticipate any costs to be incurred.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

As this change is to document existing practice, we feel it should be implemented in the next Release

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

None

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com